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#### Abstract

CuFe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}, M_{r}=487 \cdot 08\), orthorhombic, Pbca, $a=7.717$ (3), $b=13.225$ (5), $c=$ $14.549(5) \AA, \quad V=1484.8 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=8, \quad D_{x}=$ $4.36 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=0.71073 \AA, \mu=15.2 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, $F(000)=1832$, room temperature, $w R=0.028$ for 2176 reflections with $(\sin \theta) / \lambda \leq 0 \cdot 70 \AA^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ atom and the two $\mathrm{Fe}^{111}$ atoms are 'octahedrally' $[6]$ coordinated by O atoms; the $\mathrm{CuO}_{6}$ polyhedron shows the typical Jahn-Teller distortion with four 'short' $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds (average $2.003 \AA$ ) and two 'long' $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds ( $\sim 2.35 \AA$ ); the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths vary from 1.916 (3) to $2 \cdot 180$ (3) $\AA$; average values are $2.018 \AA$. The $\mathrm{FeO}_{6}$ octahedra form chains in [100] via common $\mathrm{O}_{h}$ atom corners. The $\mathrm{CuO}_{6}$ polyhedron shares two faces with $\mathrm{FeO}_{6}$ polyhedra in different chains. The connection results in rugged layers parallel to (010) with formula ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left[\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{1{ }^{6-}}\right.$. The layers are connected by arsenate tetrahedra and hydrogen bonds to form a framework.


Introduction. As part of a continuing study of the crystal chemistry of copper(II) arsenates(V) (Effenberger, 1987, 1988a,b; Effenberger \& Pertlik, 1987; Pertlik, 1987) the crystal structure of $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-$ $\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was determined. Crystals suitable for singlecrystal X-ray work were grown under hydrothermal conditions. Recently the new mineral hentschelite, $\mathrm{CuFe}{ }_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was described by Sieber, Tillmanns \& Medenbach (1987); its crystal structure was determined by Sieber, Tillmanns \& Hofmeister (1987). The crystal structures of the title compound and of hentschelite show some crystal chemical relations.

Experimental. For synthesis of $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2} 2 \mathrm{~g}$ of an equimolar mixture of $\mathrm{CuO}, \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ were placed in a vessel lined with 'Teflon'; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to 80 vol. \%. Black crystals were produced after 3 d at $500(10) \mathrm{K}$. In very thin sections they are dark brownish green and fairly transparent. Weissenberg photographs revealed orthorhombic symmetry: from extinction rules space group Pbca was derived; well developed crystallographic forms are $\{102\}$ and $\{011\}$, and the crystals are elongated parallel to [010] (length $\leq 1 \mathrm{~mm}$, diameter $\leq 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

Synthetic crystal, $0.07 \times 0.07 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}$; fourcircle diffractometer AED2 (Stoe \& Cie, Darmstadt, Federal Republic of Germany), graphite-monochromatized Mo $K \alpha$ radiation; lattice parameters from 74 reflections with $2 \theta \leq 53^{\circ} ; 2 \theta / \omega$ scan mode, minimum of 55 steps per reflection increased for $\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}$ splitting, step width $0.03^{\circ}$, step time 0.5 to 1.5 s per step, nine steps each side for background correction; drift correction of max. $6.6 \%$ based on three standard reflections; 4833 reflections with $2 \theta \leq 60^{\circ} \quad(h: 0 \rightarrow 10$, $k: 0 \rightarrow 18, l:-20 \rightarrow 20), 2176$ reflections in unique data set ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.055$ ); four $\psi$ scans for empirical absorption correction (transmission factors: 0.535 to 0.640 ), corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects. Complex neutral-atom scattering functions (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1974). All calculations were performed with the program system STRUCSY (Stoe \& Cie, 1984) on an Eclipse S140 computer (Data General). Some of the atomic coordinates of the $\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Fe atoms were located by direct methods, the O atoms and the H atoms by subsequent difference Fourier summations. Several cycles of least-squares refinements on $F$ with anisotropic temperature parameters (for the hydrogen atoms $U_{\text {iso }}$ was fixed to $0.01 \AA^{2}$ ); $R=0.070, w R=0.028$; for refinement with 1554 reflections having $F_{o}>3 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ $R=0.039, w R=0.027 ; w=\left[\sigma\left(F_{\theta}\right)\right]^{-2}(143$ variables $)$, goodness of fit $1.6 ; \max . \Delta / \sigma<10^{-3}$; max. and $\min$. heights in final difference Fourier map 1.4 and $-1 \cdot 2 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$; extinction correction was negligible. The final atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature parameters are given in Table 1.* Table 2 gives some important interatomic distances and bond angles.

Discussion. The $\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ atom is $[4+2]$ coordinated by four near O atoms forming an approximate square (average $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance $2.003 \AA$ ); the two

[^0]Table 1. Atomic fractional coordinates with e.s.d.'s in parentheses and equivalent isotropic temperature parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

$$
U_{e q}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{j}
$$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu | 0.37149 (8) | $0 \cdot 37779$ (5) | $0 \cdot 12496$ (4) | 0.0123 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ | -0.02534 (9) | 0.01151 (6) | $0 \cdot 26086$ (5) | 0.0090 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ | 0.22136 (11) | 0.23827 (6) | -0.00584 (4) | 0.0096 |
| As(1) | 0.24321 (6) | 0.00337 (4) | 0.44167 (3) | 0.0087 |
| $\mathrm{As}(2)$ | -0.01728 (6) | 0.25344 (4) | 0.19295 (3) | 0.0088 |
| O(11) | 0.0547 (5) | -0.0001 (3) | 0.3857 (2) | 0.016 |
| O(12) | 0.4153 (5) | 0.0065 (3) | 0.3708 (2) | 0.017 |
| O(13) | 0.2678 (5) | -0.0951 (3) | 0.5140 (2) | 0.013 |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 0.2467 (4) | 0.1073 (3) | 0.5090 (2) | 0.011 |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | -0.1964 (5) | 0.2573 (3) | $0 \cdot 1301$ (2) | 0.016 |
| O(22) | 0.1624 (4) | 0.2508 (3) | 0.1284 (2) | 0.012 |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | -0.0210 (5) | 0.1580 (3) | 0.2683 (3) | 0.014 |
| O(24) | -0.0058 (4) | 0.3583 (3) | 0.2599 (2) | 0.014 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{h}(1)$ | 0.2263 (5) | 0.0010 (2) | 0.1934 (2) | 0.011 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{h}(2)$ | -0.0245 (4) | 0.2444 (3) | -0.0557 (3) | 0.013 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 0.228 (8) | 0.031 (5) | 0.168 (4) | $0.01+$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | -0.018 (8) | 0.289 (4) | -0.096 (4) | $0.01 \dagger$ | $\dagger$ Fixed during refinement.

Table 2. Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Cu}^{1}-\mathrm{O}\left(24{ }^{\text {r }}\right.$ ) | 1.941 (3) | $\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\text {viii) }}\right.$ ) $\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\text {iv }}\right)$ | 2.577 (5) (a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{1}-\mathrm{O}\left(14^{\text {ii) }}\right.$ | 1.953 (3) | $\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\text {viii) }}\right.$ )-O(24v) | 2.630 (5) (a) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{1}-\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(1^{\text {vili}}\right)$ | 2.053 (3) | $\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\text {iv }}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(24^{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ | 2.633 (5) (a) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathbf{i}}-\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(2^{\text {2x }}\right.$ ) | 2.066 (3) | $\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(2^{\text {ix }}\right.$ ) $-\mathrm{O}\left(14^{\text {i }}\right.$ ) | 2.621 (5) (b) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathbf{i}}-\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\text {ix }}\right.$ ) | 2.368 (3) | $\mathrm{O}_{h^{\prime}\left(2^{1 \mathrm{x}}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)}$ | 2.638 (5) (b) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{1}-\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.329 (3) | $\mathrm{O}\left(14^{\prime \prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\text {i }}\right.$ ) | 2.638 (5) (b) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(1^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.924 (3) | $\mathrm{Fe}\left(2^{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(21^{\mathrm{ix}}\right)$ | 1.916 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(1^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(23^{3}\right)$ | 1.940 (3) | $\mathrm{Fe}\left(2^{\text {l }}\right.$ )-O(13 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ ) | 1.917 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(1^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\text {v }}\right.$ ) | 1.971 (3) | $\mathrm{Fe}\left(2^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 2.012 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(1^{\text {v }}\right.$ ) | 2.033 (3) | $\mathrm{Fe}\left(2^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(2^{2}\right)$ | 2.033 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(1{ }^{\text {l }}\right.$ )-O(24iii) | 2.062 (4) | $\mathrm{Fe}\left(2^{\text {i }}\right.$ ) -0 (14i) | 2.063 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(1^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(1^{1}\right)$ | 2.180 (3) | $\mathrm{Fe}\left(2^{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(2^{\mathrm{ix}}\right)$ | 2.168 (3) |
| Mean | 2.018 | Mean | 2.018 |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 1.668 (3) | $\left.\mathrm{As}\left(2^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}(21)^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.659 (3) |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}(12)^{\prime}$ | 1.682 (3) | $\mathrm{As}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.675 (3) |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 1.685 (3) | $\mathrm{As}\left(2^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(23{ }^{\text {i }}\right.$ | 1.672 (3) |
| $\mathrm{As}\left({ }^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 1.688 (3) |  | 1.697 (3) |
| Mean | 1.681 | Mean | 1.676 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}\left(1{ }^{1}\right)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 0.55 (6) | $\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(2^{1}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.83 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}_{h}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(13^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ | 2.893 (5) | $\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(23^{11}\right)$ | 2.867 (5) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(13^{\text {vii }}\right.$ ) | 2.39 (6) | $\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(23^{\text {i }}\right.$ ) | 2.10(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(1^{1}\right)-\mathrm{H}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 154 (8) | $\mathrm{O}_{h}\left(2^{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\mathrm{i}}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(23^{\text {i }}\right.$ ) | 154 (7) |

$\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ edges defining common faces between the coordination polyhedra (a) $\mathrm{CuO}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ and (b) $\mathrm{CuO}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{O}_{6}$.

Symmetry code: (i) $x, y, z$; (ii) $x, \frac{1}{2}-y,-\frac{1}{2}+z$; (iii) $-x,-\frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (iv) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (v) $\frac{1}{2}+x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (vi) $-\frac{1}{2}+x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (vii) $\frac{1}{2}-x$, $-y,-\frac{1}{2}+z$; (viii) $\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, z$; (ix) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y,-z$.
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}_{h}$ bond lengths ( $\sim 2.06 \AA$ ) are definitely longer than the two bonds to O atoms belonging to the arsenate tetrahedra ( $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} \sim 1.95 \AA$ ). The two distant O atoms have $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} \sim 2.35 \AA$. Because of the JahnTeller effect the 'octahedral' coordination figure is distorted to an elongated tetragonal bipyramid. The two $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$ atoms are octahedrally coordinated by O atoms, each with an average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance of $2.018 \AA$; the individual $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths range from 1.916 (3)
to $2 \cdot 180$ (3) $\AA$. As usual the arsenate groups form tetrahedra with As-O bond lengths from 1.659 (3) to 1.697 (3) $\AA$ (average 1.681 and $1.676 \AA$ ), $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ edges from 2.655 (5) to 2.793 (5) $\AA$, and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{O}$ angles from 104.0 (2) to $113.0(2)^{\circ}$. The bond length distortion $\Delta=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left[\left(R_{i}-R\right) / R\right]^{2}$ is $2.08 \times 10^{-5}$ and $6.59 \times 10^{-5}$, the bond angle variance $\sigma^{2}=$ $\frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{6}\left(\theta_{i}-109.47\right)^{2}$ is 8.05 and 10.68 for the $\mathrm{As}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{As}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra (Robinson, Gibbs \& Ribbe, 1971; Fleet, 1976).
The $\mathrm{FeO}_{6}$ octahedra are linked by $\mathrm{O}_{h}$ atom corners to form $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ chains in [100], $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{O}_{h}(1)-$ $\mathrm{Fe}(1)=133.5(2)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{O}_{h}(2)-\mathrm{Fe}(2)=134.5^{n}(2)^{\circ}$. An interesting detail in the crystal structure of $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is the connection of the iron and copper coordination polyhedra: $\mathrm{CuO}_{6}$ shares opposite faces with one $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ and one $\mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedron in


Fig. 1. Detail of the crystal structure of $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. The coordination polyhedra of $\mathrm{CuO}_{6}$ are stippled, $\mathrm{FeO}_{6}$ octahedra are hatched, and $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ tetrahedra are dotted.


Fig. 2. The crystal structure of $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in a projection onto (100) showing the connection of the rugged $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ layers $\left(\mathrm{CuO}_{6}\right.$ polyhedra are stippled, $\mathrm{FeO}_{6}$ octahedra are hatched) with the $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ tetrahedra (dotted) and hydrogen bonds. The indexes for a few iron and arsenic atoms are indicated.
different $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ chains building formal $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right)_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ groups (see Fig. 1). The connection causes a shortening of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ edges defining the common faces: the shared $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ edges vary from 2.577 (5) to 2.638 (5) $\AA$, the unshared ones vary from 3.010 (5) to 3.587 (5) $\AA$ in the $\mathrm{CuO}_{6}$ polyhedron and from 2.702 (5) to $3.095(5) \AA$ in the $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra. The angles between two O atoms defining the common faces $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ from 70.9 (1) to 82.3 (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ from 76.5 (1) to 81.5 (2) ${ }^{\circ} \mid$ are smaller than the other angles $[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ from 97.3 (2) to 107.9 (1) ${ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ from 86.9 (2) to 99.1 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$. Considering the connection of the $|6|$-coordinated cations in $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ the crystal structure consists of rugged layers parallel to ( 010 ). They are connected by the hydrogen bonds and arsenate tetrahedra (see Fig. 2).
The title compound contains pairs of atoms which have topologically the same environment: $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}(2)$, $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{As}(2), \mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{O}(21), \mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{O}(22), \mathrm{O}(13)-$ $\mathrm{O}(23), \mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{O}(24), \mathrm{O}_{h}(1)-\mathrm{O}_{h}(2)$, and $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{H}(2)$. Neglecting the Cu and H atoms a theoretical cell with $a^{\prime}=a, b^{\prime}=b / 2$, and $c^{\prime}=c / 2$, and $Z^{\prime}=Z / 4$ results.

The mineral hentschelite, $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, crystallizes in space group $P 2_{1} / n(a=6.98, b=7.79$, $c=7.27 \AA, \beta=117.68^{\circ}$; Sieber, Tillmanns \& Hofmeister, 1987; Sieber, Tillmanns \& Medenbach, 1987). Hentschelite is isostructural with the lazulite group minerals (Lindberg \& Christ, 1959). Both the polymorphs show some structural relationships: they contain formal groups $\mathrm{CuFe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right)_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ formed by the face-shared polyhedra $\mathrm{FeO}_{6}-\mathrm{CuO}_{6}-\mathrm{FeO}_{6}$; the $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ octahedra are linked through corner-shared
$\mathrm{O}_{h}$ atoms to form rows, the coordination polyhedra $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{O}_{h}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ form complicated sheets which are linked by $X \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra ( $X=\mathrm{P}$ and As, respectively) and by hydrogen bonds to form a threedimensional framework. The distinction between the crystal structures of hentschelite and of the title compound depends on the different periodicity found for the rugged sheets. The different wave pattern gives rise to the different symmetries.
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#### Abstract

PbAs}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, M_{r}=421.04\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$, $a=7.037$ (3), $b=11.801$ (6), $c=6.137$ (3) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ $112.99(3)^{\circ}, \quad V=469.2(2) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $5.96 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, Мо $K \alpha, \lambda=0.71073 \AA, \mu=48.2 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, $F(000)=720$, room temperature, $R(F)=0.047$ for 1654 independent reflections with $F_{o}>4 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ and 65 variables. The crystal structure of $\mathrm{PbAs}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ consists of


$\mathrm{AsO}_{3}$ pyramids which are corner connected to form $\mathrm{As}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ rings with symmetry $\overline{1}$, bonding $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{O}$ distances from 1.790 (10) to 1.833 (9) $\AA$, and branched As-O bond distances 1.726 (8) and 1.735 (8) $\AA$. The $\mathrm{As}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ rings are connected by the Pb atoms to form a framework. The Pb atom has four ligands: $2 \cdot 250(8) \leq$ $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} \leq 2.500(8) \AA$; further $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}$ distances © 1988 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51183 (13 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.
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